

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/522,706	01/28/2005	Verena Stangl	2958-128	7467	
6449 75	90 09/08/2006		EXAMINER		
ROTHWELL, FIGG, ERNST & MANBECK, P.C. 1425 K STREET, N.W. SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20005			BRADLEY, CHRISTINA		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1654		
			DATE MAILED: 09/08/2006	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicat	ion No.	Applicant(s)					
			706	STANGL ET AL.					
Office Action Summary		Examine	r	Art Unit					
		Christina		1654					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply									
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MA nsions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commulous period for reply is specified above, the maximum stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply we reply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ALING DATE OF T f 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no e nication. utory period will apply and v ill, by statute, cause the ap	HIS COMMUNICATIO vent, however, may a reply be ti vill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from plication to become ABANDONI	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this of ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status									
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on 03 August 200	5.	•					
•	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.								
3)[Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is								
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	on of Claims								
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> is/are pending in the application.									
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.									
-	Claim(s) is/are rejected.								
	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8)	Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> are subject to restriction	n and/or election re	quirement.						
Applicati	on Papers								
9)[The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.									
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).									
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:									
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
•	3. Copies of the certified copies of	the priority docum	ents have been receive	ed in this National	Stage				
	application from the Internationa	•	` ','						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.									
			·						
Attachment	• •		A [] [· (DTO 442)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date									
3) Inform	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or Province)		5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	Patent Application (PT0	O-152)				

Art Unit: 1654

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1-10 and 13-24, drawn to naturally occurring proteasome inhibitors comprising peptide derivatives which have a C-terminal epoxy keton structure, beta-lacton-derivatives, aclacinomycin A, lactacystin, clastolactacystein.

Group II, claim(s) 1-10 and 13-24, drawn to synthetic proteasome inhibitors comprising modified peptide aldehydes such as N-carbobenzoxy-L-leucinyl-L-leucinyl-L-leucinyl-L-leucinyl-L-leucinyl-L-leucinyl-L-leucinyl-L-leucinyl-L-norleucinal, N-carbobenzoxy-Ile-Glu(Obut)-Ala-Leu-H.

Group III, claim(s) 1-10 and 13-24, drawn to peptides comprising an □,□-epoxyketone-structure, vinyl-sulfones such as carbobenzoxy-L-leucinyl-leucinyl-L-leucinyl-sulfon or 4-hydroxy-5-iodo-3-nitrophenylacetyl-L-leucinyl-Leucinyl-L-leucinyl-sulfon (NLVS).

Group IV, claim(s) 1-10 and 13-24, drawn to glyoxal- or boric acid residues such as pyrazyl-CONH(CHPhe)CONH(Chisobutyl)B(OH)₂ and dipeptidyl-boric acid derivatives.

Group V, claim(s) 1-10 and 13-24, drawn to pinacol-ester such as benzyloxycarbonyl(Cbz)-Leu-leuboro-Leu-pinacol-ester.

Group VI, claim(s) 11 and 25, drawn to a proteasome inhibitor interfering with proteasomal gene expression selected from a group comprising antisense RNA, double stranded RNA and oligonucleotides hybridizing with a DNA sequence encoding at least one component of the proteasome complex.

Group VII, claim(s) 12 and 26, drawn to a proteasome inhibitor interfering with proteasomal gene expression selected from a group comprising a knock out construct.

The inventions listed as Groups I-VII do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: compositions comprising proteasome inhibiting

Art Unit: 1654

agents for the treatment of ischemic disorders including myocardial infarction or ischemia are disclosed in WO 01/4750 and WO 99/09006. Therefore, unity of invention is lack a posteriori...

This application contains claims directed to and discloses more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows: aclacinomycin A, lactacystin, clastolactacystein,N-carbobenzoxy-L-leucinyl-L-leucinyl-L-leucinal, N-carbobenzoxy-Leu-Nva-H, N-acetyl-L-leucinyl-L-leucinyl-L-norleucinal, N-carbobenzoxy-Ile-Glu(Obut)-Ala-Leu-H, carbobenzoxy-L-leucinyl-leucinyl-L-leucin-vinyl-sulfon, 4-hydroxy-5-iodo-3-nitrophenylacetyl-L-leucinyl-Leucinyl-Leucinyl-Leucinyl-Sulfon, pyrazyl-CONH(CHPhe)CONH(Chisobutyl)B(OH)₂, benzyloxycarbonyl(Cbz)-Leu-leuboro-Leu-pinacol-ester, PS-314, PS-519, PS-273, PS-293, PS-296, PS-303, PS-321, PS-334, PS-325, PS-352, PS-383, PS-341, PS-1, PS-2, eponemycin, MG132, CEP1612, PS-341, dansyl-Phe-Leu-boronate, MG273, Tyr-Leu3VS, NIP-Leu-Leu-Asn-VS, Ada-Tyr-Ahx3-Leu3-VS, Ada-Lys(bio)-Ahx3-Leu3-VS, dihydroeponemycin, omuralide, PS-519, ALLN, DCI, TMC-95-A, gliotoxin, EGCG, ritonavir, lovastatin, aclasinomicin A, and cyclopsorin.

Regardless of which Group is elected, Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species that is part of the elected Group (i.e. a fully defined sequence with SEQ ID NO and/or chemical structure) to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The chemical structure of the elected species must be fully defined, i.e. an abbreviation such as "PS-519" is insufficient unless it is connected to a specific structure. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims

Art Unit: 1654

subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

The following claim(s) are generic: 1-10, 13-22 and 24.

The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: the species lack a common structure and therefore lack unity a priori.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Art Unit: 1654

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christina Bradley whose telephone number is (571) 272-9044. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 8:30 A.M. to 5:00 P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cecilia Tsang can be reached on (571) 272-0562. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

cmb

Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 1600